

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,022	04/18/2001		Hiroshi Kimura	TKA0029	7517	
832	7590	05/23/2002				
BAKER & I	INER					
111 E. WAY SUITE 800	NE STRE	EET	MITCHELL, JAMES M			
FORT WAY	NE, IN	16802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2827		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/837,022	KIMURA, HIROSHI	ال (IMURA, HIROSHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner '	Art Unit	1	
	James Mitchell	2827		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' , cause the application to become AB,	eply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 I	<u>May 2001</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			is	
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wit from consideration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement			
Application Papers	· election requirement.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	F.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	pted or b) Objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	oplication No		
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	tion).	
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/837,022

Art Unit: 2827

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the claim for priority filed May 21, 2001.

### **Drawings**

2. Figure 8 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. In claim 5, the phrase "with the air of cutting marks" is ambiguous as to the scope of the claim. In addition there appears to be a grammatical error. As such, the claims of 5-8 have not been rejected over the prior art because, in light of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections supra, there is a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of the claims; hence, it would not be proper to reject the claims on the basis of prior art. As stated in In re Steele, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962), a rejection should not be based on considerable speculation about the

Application/Control Number: 09/837,022

Art Unit: 2827

meaning of terms employed in a claim or assumptions that must be made as to the scope of the claims. See also MPEP 2173.06.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 7. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jung et al. (U.S 2001/0049156).
- 8. Jung (Fig 3) discloses a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor element (210) bonded on a first metallic layer (232 of metal layer 270; Paragraph 0024, Lines 1-2), a wire (212) for electrically connecting an electrode pad (210a) of the semiconductor element to a second metallic layer ("connection pad", 230 of metal layer 270) and a resin (220) package for sealing said semiconductor element, wherein rear surface of the first and second metallic layers are flush with a bottom of said resin package, and wherein the second metallic layer is individually exposed from a bottom of said resin package (Paragraph 0021, Lines 9-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/837,022

Art Unit: 2827

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

- 10. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung as applied to claim 1.
- 11. Jung further discloses said first metallic layer thicker (in X direction) than said second metallic layer, but does not explicitly disclose that the first metallic layer has a larger or smaller area than that of a bottom surface of the semiconductor element.
- 12. In any case, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2827

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

May 17, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER